



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

BERESKIN AND PARR LLP/S.E.N.C.R.L., s.r.l.  
40 KING STREET WEST  
BOX 401  
TORONTO ON M5H 3Y2  
CANADA

**MAILED**

**SEP 20 2010**

In re Application of  
Patel, et al. : : OFFICE OF PETITIONS  
Application No. 10/816,841 : : ON PETITION  
Filed: April 5, 2004 : :  
Attorney Docket No. 12351-12 : :  
For: PACKET BASED TRANSMISSION  
OF MULTIPLE DATA SIGNALS

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed April 29, 2010, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **dismissed**.

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b)." Petitioners are advised that this is not a final agency decision.

This application became abandoned for failure to properly reply to the final Office action, mailed June 24, 2009, which set an extendable three month period for reply. Applicants submitted an amendment after final on August 20, 2009. The amendment after final failed to place this application in *prima facie* condition for allowance, as was explained in the September 23, 2009 Advisory action. Applicants submitted a three month extension of time and an amendment after final on December 23, 2009. The amendment after final failed to place this application in *prima facie* condition for allowance, as was explained in the February 18, 2010 Advisory action. Accordingly, this application became abandoned on December 25, 2009. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on February 18, 2010.

A grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

- (1) the required reply, unless previously filed.;
- (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

- (3) a statement that the **entire** delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and
- (4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(d).

The instant petition does not satisfy requirement (1) above.

Petitioners are reminded that after a final Office action, there are only five possible replies: (1) a Notice of Appeal, (2) the filing of a continuing application, (3) a 37 CFR 1.129(a) submission, if appropriate, (4) an amendment after final that makes the case ready for issuance or (5) an RCE. To be a proper reply, an amendment after final must place the case in *prima facie* condition for allowance. Examiner Zhu has indicated that the reply filed April 29, 2010 fails to place the application in *prima facie* condition for allowance. Please see the enclosed Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief for more details.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be delivered through one of the following mediums:

By mail: Mail Stop PETITIONS  
Commissioner for Patents  
Post Office Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand: Customer Service Window  
Mail Stop Petitions  
Randolph Building  
401 Dulany Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314

By fax: (571) 273-8300  
ATTN: Office of Petitions

By internet: EFS-Web  
[www.uspto.gov/ebc/efs\\_help.html](http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/efs_help.html)  
(for help using EFS-Web call the  
Patent Electronic Business Center  
at (866) 217-9197)

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3230.

*Shirene Willis Brantley*

Shirene Willis Brantley  
Senior Petitions Attorney  
Office of Petitions

Enclosure: Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief for Application No.  
10/816,841

<b>Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/816,841	PATEL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BO HUI A. ZHU	2465

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 29 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a)  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### NOTICE OF APPEAL

2.  The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

#### AMENDMENTS

3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because

- (a)  They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
- (b)  They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
- (c)  They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- (d)  They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_.

6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not be entered, or b)  will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) rejected: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

#### AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

#### REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: \_\_\_\_\_

12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_

13.  Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

/Jayanti K. Patel/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2465

/B. A. Z./  
Examiner, Art Unit 2465

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. More specifically, the scopes of the indicated allowable claims 5 and 11 from Final Office action of 06/24/2009 are changed by the proposed amendments. The scope of the amended claim 35 with the addition of the limitations from claim 5 which is canceled by the current proposed amendments would require further consideration and/or search because claim 5 was not a dependent claim of claim 35.